L.R

(1892-93)

64-1892

1-41

Sub: Discrepancies in the Supare area accounts for the year 1890-91

19

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

1892-93
~~191~~ !

( L.R. )

File No. 64

Serial Nos. 1 - 41

*Later references.*

LR 59-93.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1 - 41

Major ~~Part~~ B for May 93.

---

SUBJECT.

Discrepancies in the Supari accounts for the year 1890-91.

---

Comm

8/93

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed

Section
File No. 62-2
Serial No.

Rankin. Re abstract survey &c as it is. I shall consult the survey supt. after I hear from Mr Cumming.

26/10/12

Mr Chisholm

I am very sorry — even more than very sorry — that any figures furnished from the Secretariat should have been misleading —

As will be observed from the abstract statement annexed even the kept row proposed & the figures & all figures there are shown in the accounts areas of Sirpani gardens and also areas of gardens in which Sirpani is grown with cocoanuts — The error has arisen by ignoring the latter and I am not aware that this latter figure was withheld from you — Annexed to this letter is the statement with Talukwar details which was prepared at the same time & which has been sent me for my confidential — The abstract of this is annexed to the draft order proposed and I don't understand why it is ignored even in the draft —

I did not see your minute on Sirpani or I should have at once pointed out the error of taking the halat & distributing it over the area of only purely Sirpani gardens.

We have got the areas of Sirpan gardens & of mixed gardens. At best these areas are but approximate

as they have not been separately measured -
They ~~may~~ are obtained by Shanbhogues
posting on separate paper the areas of
what from local knowledge they consider
to be purely Bagayat gardens or the mixed
gardens - The figures may be taken as
fairly approximate; & in order to secure
the best approximation for the special
purposes now in view Deputy Cs may be
asked to get their Hobs & Amildars to
check some of these detailed statements
& submit returns which may be relied upon
as most approximate -

To fix the proportion of Bagayat or
mixed gardens is more difficult; but
Shanbhogues & Patels can help to do it with
fair approximation and the Superior
Revenue Officers should be asked to check
these statements by actual verification
on the spot - We must think indicate
the way this should be done - We might
say that

first the area & assessment as per Survey
accounts of these mixed gardens should
be posted

next the proportion represented by areas
in the Register should be noted that Bagayat

Where & other produce in these
mixed gardens -
Next the area and assessment according
to this proportion should be ascertained
- Mehealy calculated, and posted
& last by the Stats of these papers
Made up -

Nuts for Mukhtahsil -

| As per survey accts | | | Trees from | Rt² Proportion of Supari | area & assessment of Rt² Supari | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| No | Area ac — g | assessment R — a | Supari Cocoanut & Plantains | 4a | area ac — g 1 — 5 | ass't 11 — 4 |
| 4 | 4 — 20 | 45 — a | | | | |
| 6 | 15 — a | 30 — a | Supari and ~~Plantains~~ Beetleleaves | 16a | 15 — a | 30 — a |

& so on -

It is in this way that we
Carpet the area over which the Kaleet
may be distributed without mistake -

As to the manner in which mixed
gardens have been assessed Dy Cs & Amildars
may please be consulted - But pukka
regr is to be had only in the Survey Dept.

I beg you to instruct whether
the draft as prepared may pass or whether
you wish it modified as suggested
or ~~suggested~~ above -

Beg you will overlook any

Errors of attempt at expression in
this letter written under great
pressure for time —
I trust that these
lines will find you in good
health
I am Dear Sir
Yr. very sincerely
Wm. Hazlitt

The Hon.ble
P. Chenbat Amherstburgh Esq.r &c &c

Statement of the extent and assessment of Areca-nut gardens in the province of Mysore for the year 1890-91.

| Talúks. | Areca-nut gardens. | | | | | | Gardens in which both areca and cocoa-nut trees are planted. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent | | | Assessment | | | Extent. | | | Assessment. | | |
| | Acre | G. | M. | Rs | A. | P. | Acre | G. | M. | Rs | A. | P. |
| Bangalore | 77 | 6 | " | 618 | 4 | " | 438 | 28 | " | 3,871 | 2 | " |
| Hosakote | " | " | " | " | " | " | 118 | 14 | " | 924 | 12 | " |
| Doddaballapur | 40 | 16 | " | 385 | 10 | " | 233 | 21 | " | 2,131 | 4 | " |
| Nelamangala | " | " | " | " | " | " | 60 | " | " | 480 | " | " |
| Magadi | 72 | 25 | " | 346 | 12 | " | 1,182 | 26 | " | 6,071 | 14 | " |
| Closepet | " | 14 | " | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2,357 | 14 | " | 9,708 | 10 | 10 |
| Kankanhally | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,063 | 17 | " | 4,736 | 5 | 9 |
| Anekal | " | " | " | " | " | " | 164 | 13 | " | 1,295 | 7 | 6 |
| Devanahally | 21 | 36 | " | 180 | 4 | " | 17 | 38 | " | 137 | 12 | " |
| Total. | 212 | 17 | " | 1532 | 8 | 8 | 6,636 | 11 | " | 29,357 | 4 | 1 |
| Kolar | 1 | 4 | " | 6 | 12 | " | 11 | 13 | " | 71 | " | " |
| Bowringpet | 1 | 1 | " | 6 | 4 | " | 7 | 10 | " | 40 | 4 | " |
| Chintamani | " | 5 | " | " | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Mulbagal | " | 35 | " | 6 | " | " | 5 | 27 | " | 47 | 4 | " |
| Siddaghatta | 5 | 8 | " | 43 | 4 | " | 5 | 22 | " | 52 | 12 | " |
| Chikballapur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 35 | 12 | " | 271 | 12 | " |
| Bagepally | 4 | 37 | " | 40 | " | " | 28 | 6 | " | 284 | 8 | " |
| Gowribidnur | 65 | 87 | " | 539 | 4 | " | 927 | 21 | " | 6542 | " | " |
| Malur | 9 | 1 | " | 63 | 8 | " | 79 | 11 | " | 462 | 12 | " |
| Total | 78 | 8 | " | 705 | 14 | " | 1100 | 2 | " | 7772 | 4 | " |
| Tumkur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,850 | 7 | " | 25,222 | 15 | 6 |
| Maddagiri | 67 | 30 | " | 604 | " | " | 1,832 | 14 | " | 20,202 | 10 | " |
| Chikanaikanhally | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,745 | 27 | " | 20,943 | 14 | " |
| Sira | 73 | 31 | " | 715 | 4 | " | 2,481 | 30 | " | 25,662 | 2 | 3 |
| Gubbi | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,219 | 22 | " | 31,184 | 8 | " |
| Tiptur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 925 | 7 | " | 6,177 | 11 | " |
| Pavagada | 1 | 23 | " | 5 | 8 | " | 410 | 5 | " | 3,017 | 8 | " |
| Kunigal | 74 | 5 | " | 495 | 4 | | 585 | 11 | " | 3,703 | 14 | " |
| Total | 207 | 9 | " | 1,820 | " | " | 17,050 | 3 | " | 1,36,115 | 2 | 9 |
| Mysore | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,075 | 4 | " | 11,671 | 4 | " |
| Yedatore | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,809 | 20 | " | 9,078 | " | " |
| Hunsur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,911 | 5 | " | 12,584 | 14 | " |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mysore | Gundlapet | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,452 | 7 | " | 1,084 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | Chamrajnagar | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,166 | 10 | " | 8,905 | 7 | 11 | | |
| | Seringapatam | " | " | " | " | " | " | 384 | 9 | " | 6,570 | 5 | " | | |
| | Krishnarajpet | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,929 | 39 | " | 14,770 | 4 | " | | |
| | Nagamangala | " | " | " | " | " | " | 144 | 30 | | 5,791 | 1 | " | | |
| | Mandya | 83 | 25 | " | 385 | " | " | 816 | 29 | " | 4,688 | 6 | " | | |
| | Kunjungud | " | " | " | " | " | " | 35 | 27 | " | 197 | 6 | 1 | | |
| | T. Narsipur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 286 | 25 | " | 1,800 | 15 | 11 | | |
| | Mallavalli | " | " | " | " | " | " | 114 | 35 | " | 698 | 11 | 3 | | |
| | Total | 83 | 25 | " | 385 | " | " | 17,191 | 32 | " | 78,059 | 12 | 11 | | |
| Hassan | Hassan | 104 | 25 | " | 547 | " | " | 2429 | 23 | " | 12,343 | 6 | " | | |
| | Manjarabad | 97 | 8 | " | 578 | 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | |
| | Belur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,095 | 30 | " | 6,642 | 14 | " | | |
| | Arsikere | " | " | " | " | " | " | 823 | 39 | " | 5,611 | 9 | " | | |
| | Channarayapatna | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,810 | 11 | " | 20,967 | 9 | " | | |
| | Kole-Narsipur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1540 | 31 | " | 6,312 | 6 | " | | |
| | Arkalgud | 36 | 34 | " | 155 | 8 | " | 2,571 | 33 | " | 11,187 | 8 | " | | |
| | Total | 238 | 27 | " | 1281 | 4 | " | 13,272 | 7 | " | 63,065 | 4 | " | | |
| Shimoga | Shimoga | 607 | 2 | " | 6160 | " | " | 269 | 24 | " | 2,364 | 12 | 10 | | |
| | Channagiri | 454 | 28 | " | 2940 | 7 | 5 | 589 | 5 | " | 3,825 | 13 | 5 | | |
| | Honnali | " | " | " | " | " | " | 301 | 4 | " | 2,027 | 5 | 3 | | |
| | Shikaripur | 83 | 25 | " | 1,448 | 8 | " | 1,067 | 15 | " | 7,540 | 8 | " | | |
| | Sorab | 1,040 | 5 | " | 16,088 | 13 | 4 | 308 | 2 | " | 4,124 | " | " | | |
| | Sagar | 4,326 | 6 | " | 81,396 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | |
| | Nagar | 2,257 | 15 | " | 25,450 | 8 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | |
| | Tirthahally | 5,312 | 19 | " | 70,731 | 15 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | |
| | Total | 14081 | 20 | " | 2,04,216 | 11 | 6 | 2,535 | 10 | " | 19,852 | 7 | 6 | | |
| Kadur | Tarikere | 5 | 39 | " | 41 | 8 | " | 1892 | 12 | " | 14,122 | 2 | " | | |
| | Koppa | 6,290 | 35 | " | 93,688 | 13 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | |
| | Chikmagalur | 27 | 27 | " | 188 | " | " | 371 | 20 | " | 2,358 | " | " | | |
| | Kadur | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,410 | 9 | " | 10,810 | 8 | " | | |
| | Mandigere | 1,277 | 21 | " | 10,549 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | |
| | Total | 7,602 | 2 | " | 1,04,467 | 11 | 5 | 3,674 | 1 | " | 27,290 | 10 | " | | |
| Chitaldrug | Chitaldrug | " | " | " | " | " | " | 797 | 25 | " | 5,215 | 14 | " | | |
| | Chellakere | " | " | " | " | " | " | 547 | 6 | " | 4,667 | 4 | " | | |
| | Hiriyur | 5 | 23 | " | 15 | 4 | " | 351 | 12 | " | 1,712 | 5 | " | | |
| | Holalakere | 34 | 4 | " | 119 | 8 | " | 979 | 22 | " | 7,938 | 2 | 2 | | |

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

# REVENUE BRANCH.

## OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Discrepancies in the Supari Accounts of 1890-91

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 3177/757 dated 18 April 1893 from Deputy Commr: Kolar

Govt Pro: No: 102-9.

On an examination of the accounts received for the year 1890-91 Government observed certain inconsistencies in the areas of Supari gardens and the Salat duty collected in Some of the Distrists, and called upon the Deputy Commrs to Submit Statement Showing the areas of mined gardens and the estimated extent in them occupied by the Supari trees The Dy Commrs were also requested to explain how the mined gardens had been assessed and how the rates charged on them Compared with

gardens.

2. With reference to the above, the Dy Commrs in submitting the statements called for report as follows:—.

3. The Deputy Commr: Bangalore District, reports that the area of Supari gardens given in the accounts for 1890-91 represents the extent of only Supari gardens, and gives the estimated extent occupied by Supari trees in mixed gardens as 1703 acres. The Amildars of Hosakoté, Nelamangala and Kankanhalli however give no details as to the approximate area occupied by Supari trees in mixed gardens and it is remarked against the latter Taluk that

S. No: 27.

Bangalore

that there are only very few Supari trees in few mined gardens.

S. No: 10.
Part II

4. The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District submits that only a little Supari is produced in the mined gardens and as this is used for domestic use it pays no halat. He gives 1099 acres as the area of mined gardens, the estimated extent of pure Supari trees in them being 73 acres. The Deputy Commr. endorses the Tahsildar's report to the effect that the extent shown under the head "Pure Supari gardens" comprises unplanted portions of the entire Survey numbers on which the gardens stand, and that mined gardens containing 10 to 12 arecanut trees have

been exhibited as "Pure Supari gardens" in the accounts. Hence the area appears large while the number of trees is small. The arecanut produce of the Taluks is insignificant compared with that in other parts of the Province and the same is mostly utilized by the owners for their home consumption.

5 The Deputy Commissioner of Sunkeri District reports that the area of 207 acres shewn in the accounts for 1890-91 represents ~~the area~~ of purely arecanut gardens, and gives 17,050 acres as the area of mixed gardens, the estimated

S. No: 29. Part III

Sunkeri

estimated extent occupied by pure arecanut trees being put down as 12,360 acres.

S. No: 7. Part IV

Mysore

6. The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District states that the area of 84 acres noted in the Progs: under reference relates to pure Supari gardens in the Mandya Taluk assessed at from Rs 4 to 7 Rs per acre, while the mined gardens have been assessed at garden rates as fined* by the Survey Settlement. The total area of mixed gardens viz. 17,192 acres exhibited in the annual accounts for 1890-91 and shewn in the Proceedings above alluded to has now been reduced by the Deputy Commr: to 15,918 acres on the ground that the area of 1,452 acres shewn against the Gundlapet Taluk has during the Survey

* The rate of assessment on mined gardens being from Rs 1-2-- to Rs 10 per acre.

at 179 acres only. The total area of mixed gardens being thus reduced to 15,918 acres, the estimated area in which Supari trees are grown is shewn as 7,920 acres.

7. The Deputy Commissioner of Hassan states that 239 acres shewn in the Proceedings under reference represent the area of pure arecanut gardens as shewn in the Nagadiyat returns, and observes that the amount shewn as Revenue derived from Supari in the Administration Report of 1890-91 includes not only the duty levied on Supari produced in the area of pure arecanut gardens, but also includes the duty levied on Supari produced in the mixed gardens. The area of mixed gardens being 13727 acres, the

S. no: 6 Part I
Hassan

the estimated extent occupied by Supari trees is shewn as 5,071 acres

S-NO: 41.
Part VI
Shimoga

8 The Deputy Commissioner, Shemoga District, states that the area occupied by purely Cocoanut gardens is in all 5.3 acres, which is little or nothing when compared with the large extent of 15,708-24 acres of purely Supari gardens. He reduces the area of mixed gardens from 2,535 acres to 2,334 acres owing to some corrections in the accounts of the Honalli & Sorab Taluks against which an area of 301-4-0 & 308 acres and 5 guntas respectively had been shewn in the accounts of 1890-91 against 300 acres & 35 & 107 a & 2 s now reported.

× as per Estimate now framed by him. Vide col: 6 of his statement marked B.

9. The Deputy Commissioner also explains that the major

major portion of the above
area of mined gardens
ought not to have been in-
-cluded under this head,
for, the majority of the so-
called mined gardens
are really arecanut gardens,
but treated as mixed
gardens simply because
they contain a few cocoanut
trees; that these insignifi-
-cant cocoanut trees have
been planted next to
the hedges or enclosures
around, and in several
instances along with other
trees such as jack, mangoes &c
chiefly with the object of
affording shade to the
Supari trees forming
the gardens in question;

that.

Te 2334 acres

that the Cocoanut trees are not taken into account at all by the gardeners in the valuation of their respective gardens, the value depending wholly on the growth in them of Supari alone and that the present classification, which is extremely faulty, has been existing for many years and has to be revised with due enquiry and care hereafter. The Deputy Commissioner finally submits that it is of no practical use to deduct anything in the extent of these really arecanut Gardens wrongly classified as mixed gardens in the Annual Accounts.

10. The Deputy Commr. therefore suggests and

× as shewn in the statement appended to the Dy Comm's letter marked C.

has been adopted partly this suggestion in the Statement submitted by him as per existing accounts (not according to the accounts of 1890-91 now in question), that 2/3rds of the area of mixed gardens as they are now called may safely be transferred to the head "Supari gardens" proper, and out of the remainder, viz, 778 acres retained under the head "mixed gardens", 3/4ths or 583 acres may again be put down for Supari and the remainder for Cocoanut. By adopting this calculation and taking the area of Pure Supari gardens as given in the accounts of 1890-91, ~~the area of mixed gardens is reduced to 195 acres while~~ the total area of ~~pure~~ Supari ~~gardens~~ under

| | × | acres. |
|---|---|---|
| As per accounts of 1891-92 | | 14,152 |
| $\frac{2}{3}$rds of 2334 | | 1556 |
| | | 15,708 |

$\frac{2}{3}$rds of 2334 = 1556 acres

Director

is

As per accounts of 1890-91 —— 14,081
2/3rds of 2334 acres —— 1,556
3/4ths of 778 acres —— 583
16,220

is raised to 16,220 acres

11. In the Statement submitted by him marked B, the Deputy Commissioner has however not taken into account 3/4ths of the area of mixed Gardens which he says may safely be put down for Supari. If approved, the Deputy Commissioner may be requested to exhibit correct area of pure Supari & mixed Gardens in the accounts for the Current year.

12. With regard to the difference in the duty collected on Supari, the Deputy Commr. states that the account of duty collected includes both the duty collected on Supari grown in the Gardens situated in Government Villages & also of the Gardens in the Inam, Kayenguttu & Jodi Villages, though the area & assessment of

3/4ths of 778 = 583 acres

With carelessness which is beginning to be frequent in such matters in District Offices the totals do not tally with the letter - The figures above given in this memo are in accordance with the figures in letter.

such gardens are not included in the annual accounts (Nagadiyat Returns) submitted to Government.

13. The Deputy Commissioner adds that he is making needful enquiries in the matter of the differences of production of Supari & the Salut duty & will submit a report if one is found necessary, but that best endeavours are being made to check smuggling.

14. The Dy Commissioner of Kadur reports that the area of 7,602 acres shewn in the Return of 1890-91 does not include the area of Supari trees grown in Cocoanut gardens, but represents the area of pure Supari gardens which exist to a large extent in the Malnad & to a limited extent in the Maidan Taluks and that every arrangement has been made to see that

Serial No 24. Part VII

Kadur

no where leave to dutyis

smuggled and that duty on such articles is levied according to the rules and credited.

15 He further reports that the rates of pure Supari gardens range from 1 Rs to 22 Rs and those of mixed gardens from 1/2 Rs to 16 Rs. pure Supari gardens in the Kilimuglur Taluk is used for home consumption, that grown in the mixed gardens is liable to duty when transported. He adds that the areas under Supari grown in the

S. No. 3.
Part-VIII
Chittoor

16. The Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldurg states that the area of 5·7 acres assessed at Rs 275 represents pure Supari gardens; and that the mixed gardens in the District being situated under tanks, having also an additional source of water supply from Kapile wells, while the pure gardens are mostly supported by Kapile wells, the rate of

assessment

assessment upon mined

gardens is higher than that fixed on pure Supari gardens. The estimated extent of Supari trees in the mined gardens is stated to be 2,838 acres.

17. It will be seen from the above that none of the Deputy Commissioners except that of Hassan say whether the halat duty exhibited in the Statement given in para 1 of Govt. Proc. No: 102-9, dt 27th Octr 92 was collected on the area of pure Supari gardens or whether it was collected on the arecanut produced in both the pure and mined gardens.

18. The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur especially should have explained on this

point

point, but it is clear from the figures now furnished by this officer that it was not only the area of 207 acres that realized a halat duty of Rs 58,754 but it was this + 12,360 acres occupied by Supari trees in mixed gardens that realized the above sum.

19 The Deputy Commr: of Kolar says that while the area of Supari gardens ~~is~~ large, the number of trees is very small, and that as the little quantity of Supari produced in the District is used for domestic use, no halat duty is collected thereon.

20. The Deputy Commissioner of Shemoga on the other hand submits that it is not only the area that ~~it~~ is exhibited in the

Proc:

proceedings referred to that has realized the halat duty of Rs 2,13,137, but it is also the area of Supari gardens in the Inam and Kayemgutta villages (not included in the Nagadiyat Return) that has contributed towards it.

Submitted for orders with 2 Statements prepared in this office.

B.D. 4/5/93

N 9/5/93

Supt. & the Commissr (Rev) -

The annexed Abkari Statements furnishes the information required for 90-91. It will appear therefrom that

(a) In the Nuzvid Distt the assessment is on an average Rs 14-8 per acre and the Halaut on an average Rs 13-0 per acre.

assmt Rs 20427 on 1408½ acres

(6) In the Mississippi the Agricultural ~~average~~ 14 lbs per acre and the Kaluk #6-11 per acre.

2015

#13-15-2 each

#6-10-9 each

Repr. No 24
56-92
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
No

Camp No 102-9 Dy 24-10-92
Gen Bo.

In the accounts received with the Revenue abstracts from reports for 1891-92, the area of cost of Supari gardens & the amount of duty collected on Supari during the year are as shown below.—

| Dt— | area under cost | Amt of duty collected on |
|---|---|---|
| Bangalore | 212 | 1532 ~~2706~~ 230 |
| Kolar | 78 | 906 36 |
| Tumkur | 207 | 1820 58754 |
| Mysore | 884 | 385 3118 |
| Hassan | 239 | 1281 4254 |
| Shimoga | 14081 | 204217 213137 |
| Kadur | ~~1015~~ 7602 | 104468 80315 |
| Chitaldroog | 57 | 2785 7915 |
| Total | 22560 | 314684 375759 |

2. There appears to be some error in the areas given, as it is impossible that an area of 239 acres in Hassan could have yielded a duty of only 42574 Rs, while a smaller area in Tanjore yielded as much as Rs 58754- Again 94 acres in Mysore yielded a duty of Rs 3118 while nearly the same area in Salem yielded only Rs 36. Probably the areas given do not include Supari trees in Cocoanut gardens, or there has been much smuggling in some Districts.

If Dr Cleghorn can be requested to explain the matter, & if, as is presumed, the areas given represent the area of any pure supari gardens, & not also the area of other gardens

on stock Supari trees are grown along with other trees, to submit a Statement showing the areas of such mixed gardens, & the ~~probable~~ estimated extent in them occupied by the Supari trees — They should also explain how these mixed gardens have been assessed & how the rates charged on them compare with those charged on pure Supari gardens.

3. The Govt are sorry to find such misleading Statements ~~provided~~ without any explanation, & they trust that the Dy Comrs will in future pay more attention to the Statements sent by them.—

4. The reply to the Bd should be sent before the end of November.—

Chief Secy

when I was drawing up my memo on supari gardens, you gave me the area of Supari gardens in the Province as 22560 acres & I acted upon it — I have find this all wrong — Some one ought to have pointed out this error — Our accountants seem to believe like printers, & not as intelligent accountants — We must do something to improve the efficiency of the Accts Dept, & I have written to the Deputy on the subject —

20/10/92

Please issue the Bds order —

A separate note is put up

20/10/92 To all Dy Comrs 29 Oct 92

Vh

Volume printed
in Cambr
& signed at
once
Otto
Vaulx

Statement showing the extent & assessment of Areca nut gardens in the Mysore province as per Nagadiyat returns for the year 1890-91

| Districts | Pure Areca nut gardens | | Gardens in which both Areca & Cocoanut trees are planted | | Duty on Supari |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent (acres) | Assessment | Extent (acres) | Assessment | |
| Bangalore | 212 | 1532 | 6636 | 29357 | 230 |
| Kolar | 78 | 706 | 1100 | 7772 | 36 |
| Tumkur | 207 | 1820 | 17050 | 136115 | 58754 |
| Mysore | 84 | 385 | 17192 | 78060 | 3118 |
| Hassan | 239 | 1281 | 13272 | 63065 | 4254 |
| Shimoga | 14081 | 204217 | 2535 | 19852 | 213137 |
| Kadur | 7602 | 104468 | 3674 | 27291 | 88315 |
| Chitaldroog | 57 | 275 | 3725 | 25168 | 7915 |
| Total | 22560 | 314684 | 65184 | 386680 | 375759 |

Submitted -

The area and assessment of the Sepoy Gardens as given in para 1 of Govt Pro. No 102-109 Camp dated 27th October 1892 appertain to the year 1890-91 and not to 1891-92 as therein stated.

Please see the statement printed on the reverse.

17/11/92

Bertram
Asst Supdt
of the Gardens.

Rev Accts

Issued from the Camp office

To be registered and noted.

Reminder to be sent in the last week of November

Are the figures given in the Statement appended to para 1. for 1891-92 or 1890-91?

6/11/92

To Sooba Rao.

Please report this in the Camp P.O. Register & send reminder in the last week of Nov.

16/11/92

1892.

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

(1

## GENERAL.

## PROCEEDINGS.

*Dated Mysore, 27th October* 1892.

Camp No102—9.

Directing the Deputy Commissioners to pay more attention in future in the preparation of Supari accounts and requesting them to submit explanation on the discrepancies pointed out in the accounts received with the Revenue Administration Reports of their respective Districts for ~~1891-92~~ 1890-91, before the end of November next.

Government Branch Press, Mysore.—29-10-92.—50.

Subd
The figures given in para 1
are for the year 1890-91, not
for 1891-92.
18/11/92

CAMP No. 102—9, DATED MYSORE, 27TH OCTOBER 1892.

ORDER.—In the accounts received with the Revenue Administration Reports for 1891-92, the area and assessment of Supari gardens, and the amount of duty collected on supari during the year are given as shown below :—

| District. | Area. | Assessment. | Amount of duty collected. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Acres. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Bangalore ... ... ... ... ... | 212 | 1,532 | 230 |
| Kolar ... ... ... ... ... | 78 | 706 | 36 |
| Tumkur ... ... ... ... ... | 207 | 1,820 | 58,754 |
| Mysore ... ... ... ... ... | 84 | 385 | 3,118 |
| Hassan ... ... ... ... ... | 239 | 1,281 | 4,254 |
| Shimoga ... ... ... ... ... | 14,081 | 2,04,217 | 2,13,137 |
| Kadur ... ... ... ... ... | 7,602 | 1,04,468 | 88,315 |
| Chitaldroog ... ... ... ... | 57 | 275 | 7,915 |
| Total..... | 22,560 | 3,14,684 | 3,75,759 |

2. There is apparently some error in the areas given, as it is impossible that an area of 239 acres in Hassan could have yielded a duty of only Rs. 4,254, while a smaller area in Tumkur yielded as much as Rs. 58,754. Again 84 acres in Mysore yielded a duty of Rs. 3,118, while nearly the same area in Kolar yielded only Rs. 36. Probably the areas given do not include supari trees in cocoanut gardens, or, there has been much smuggling in some Districts. The Deputy Commissioners are requested to explain the matter, and if, as the Government presume, the areas given represent the area of only pure supari gardens, and not also the area of other gardens in which supari trees are grown along with other trees, to submit a statement showing the areas of such mixed gardens, and the estimated extent in them occupied by the supari trees. They should also explain how these mixed gardens have been assessed and how the rates charged on them compare with those charged on pure supari gardens.

3. The Government are sorry to find such misleading statements without any explanation; and they trust that the Deputy Commissioners will, in future, pay more attention to the statements sent by them.

4. The reply to these Proceedings should be sent before the end of November

T. ANANDA ROW,
*Chief Secretary.*

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—M.V.N.

P. T. O.

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (General),—dated 27th October* 1892.

Statement showing the extent and assessment of Arecanut gardens in the Mysore Province as per Nagadiyat returns for the year 1890—91.

| Districts. | Pure arecanut gardens | | Gardens in which both Areca & Cocoanut trees are planted. | | Duty on Supari. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent (acres.) | Assessment. | Extent (acres.) | Assessment. | |
| Bangalore ... .. ... | 212 | 1,532 | 6,636 | 29,357 | 230 |
| Kolar ... ... ... | 78 | 706 | 1,100 | 7,772 | 36 |
| Tumkur ... ... ... | 207 | 1,820 | 17,050 | 1,36,115 | 58,754 |
| Mysore ... ... ... | 84 | 385 | 17,192 | 78,060 | 3,118 |
| Hassan ... .. ... | 239 | 1,281 | 13,272 | 63,065 | 4,254 |
| Shimoga ... ... ... | 14,081 | 2,04,217 | 2,535 | 19,852 | 2,13,137 |
| Kadur ... ... ... | 7,602 | 1,04,468 | 3,674 | 27,291 | 88,315 |
| Chitaldroog ... ... ... | 57 | 275 | 3,725 | 25,168 | 7,915 |
| Total..... | 22,560 | 3,14,684 | 65,184 | 3,86,680 | 3,75,759 |

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

S-820.
5-DEC. 92
R 1513

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga Dist.

Section R
File No 64-92 To
Serial No 2

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 2nd December 1892.

Despatched

Received 7-12-92

Enclosures No.

General No. 8
No. 8

Requests to be furnished with 10 printed copies of Government Proceedings No. 102/9 dated 27th October 1892 regarding the preparation of Supari accounts.

R.

Send if available

MRK 5/12

There are only 10 copies available in this office. All these are required for our use. Submitted for orders

DB 14/12

How many Share Copies were sent to the Dy Comr. Shimoga?

MRK 16/12

Please see the Govt. Order dated 27th Oct. 1892

Mr Veerabhadra Rao Naidu

Please see Under Secy's order and say how many copies were sent to each of the Dy Comrs.

DB 21/12

Out of the 50 copies struck, 8 were sent to Dy Comrs with the Secy's circular - no Share copies were sent.

Draft Letter prepared 4. D. N. O. 23/12 4. How the Councillor 2/18 Camp Office. Send 5 copies to Dy Comr. Shimoga and the remaining 3 to Mysore Office

Rec'd 5/12

1892

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 2d. December 92.

No. 853/815

Government Proceedings No. 102/9 dated 27th October 1892 regarding the preparation of Supari accounts.

---

Has the honor to request that 10 printed copies of the above Proceedings may kindly be furnished for circulation among the Amildars and Assistant Commissioners.

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

MK

(General Serial No. 30.)

Part VIII

Section 12
64-92
No. 3

1892

5-892
5 - DEC. 92
R1526

From The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District

To (3)

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

Dated 3d December 1892.

Despatched

Received

**Enclosures No. 1-**

General No. 3286
No. 2805

In reply to Government Proceedings No: 102-9 dated 27-10-92.

SUBJECT

Explaining the difference regarding the area &c: shown in the Lalbagh Garden Accounts for 1890-91.

Rec. Dec 5/12/92

Subs with draft reminder to Mr Ols

W.B. 15/12

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

280 Chulaleroog district

Chulaleroog 3d december 1892

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 102-9 dated 27th October last, calling for certain explanations regarding the area shown in the Supari gardens account submitted with the Revenue Administration Report for 1890-91 of this district and directing the submission of a Statement showing the area &c of miscad gardens, I have the honor to state that the area entered in the account submitted for 1890-91 viz, 57 acres assessed at Rs 275---- was only the extent of pura Supari gardens -

2.- I beg to submit herewith a Statement showing the areas of miscad gardens and the estimated

Supari trees. The mixed gardens in this district are generally situated under tanks, having also an additional source of water supply from kapila wells, while the pure gardens are mostly supported by kapila wells. Consequently the rate of assessment upon mixed gardens is higher than that fixed on pure supari gardens.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Offg: Deputy Commissioner

Estimated extent of lands occupied in the Batticaloa Talukk for Supari trees for the Year 1890-91

| No. | Talukk- | Cura Supari gardens: Area A. | Cura Supari gardens: Area Q. | Cura Supari gardens: Rate Maximum | Cura Supari gardens: Rate Minimum | Cura Supari gardens: Amount of assessment | Miscad gardens: Area | Miscad gardens: Maximum Rate | Miscad gardens: Minimum rate | Miscad gardens: Amount of assessment | Estimated area in which supari trees are grown along with other trees- |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Chulaiveoog. | " | " | " | " | " | 797 2 | 12 " | 3 8 " | 5215 14 | 598 15 |
| 2. | Challakera. | " | " | " | " | " | 547 6 | 12 " | 3 " | 4667 4 | 411 6 |
| 3. | Arinjar. | 5 | 23 | 4 " | 2 " | 15 4 | 351 12 | 9 " | 1 4 | 1712 5 | 307 " |
| 4 | Kolakkera. | 34 | 4 | 4 12 | 2 " | 119 8 " | 979 22 | 14 " | 3 " | 7938 2 2 | 729 22 |
| 5 | Davangera. | " | " | " | " | " | 928 14 | 8 " | 1 14 | 4731 11 10 | 696 14 |
| 6. | Jagalur. | " | " | " | " | " | 97 18 | 8 " | 5 8 | 748 4 | 77 18 |
| 7. | Molakalmuru | 17 | 5 | 8 8 | 6 " | 140 8 | 24 1 | 12 " | 6 " | 154 " | 18 1 |
| | | 56 | 32 | | | 275 4 | 3725 18 | " " | " " | 25167 9 | 2837 36 |

Nota.

The miscad gardens consisting of cocoanut, Supari and other trees are generally situated under tanks having also an additional source of water supply from Kapila wells while the pura Supari gardens are supported by private Kapila wells only and consequently the rate of assessment upon miscad gardens is higher-

Deputy Commissioner's office
Chulaiveoog 31 December
1892

Offg Deputy Commissioner

Section AR
File No 64-92
Serial No 4

1892. 6726.
R 1733
OFFICE OF MYSORE

From The Dy Commissioner Kaspa Division

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 21st
Despatched do } December 189
Received

Enclosures No. One

General No. 669
No. 2227

In reply to Rev: 102-92, 27th October 1892

SUBJECT.

Submitting the Explanation called for in regard to certain discrepancies pointed out in the Supari accounts for 1890—91 Fasli

---

Rev. Compile for subn to Revcouncillor. Issue Send urgent reminder 23/12

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office). Urgent reminder Sent Subd 24/12

Keep this Sheet there - The Dy Commr should be requested to submit a revised statement - The remarks & explanations contained in para 5 of his letter relate only to the Estimate of 95312 acres as that was the area of Supari plantations on which the Estimate is based -

Rec'd 24/12

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. 669 Secy

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.

Dated Hassan 21st December 1892

From,

P. N. Purnaiya Esqr.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 102-9 Dated 27th October 1892 requesting to submit an explanation on the discrepancies pointed out in the account received with the Revenue Administration Report for 1890-91 (not 1891-92), I have the honor to state that in the Comparative Statement showing increase and decrease

of

of Revenue under various heads (vide part V of the Administration Report for 1890-91), only the Revenue derived under the item Supari viz Rs 4,254-4-4 is entered where-as in the Najadiyat Annual Returns Nos: 20 in Columns 54 and 62 the extent of pure arecanut garden and extent of arecanut and Cocoanut gardens are put down as shown in the margin.

Arecanut garden . Area 239 acres
Cocoanut and arecanut gardens . 132.92. do

2— Hence it will be observed that the amount shown as — Revenue derived from Supari in the Administration Report of 1890-91 includes not only the duty levied on Supari produced in the area of pure arecanut gar-dens; but also includes the duty levied on Supari produced in the area

area of arecanut garden merged in the area shown in the Nagadi -yat account Serial No: 20 Col: 6 (arecanut and Cocoanut gardens).

3— From the above it may be seen that 239 acres shown in the statement appended to the first para of the Proceedings under reference, are the area of pure arecanut garden as shown in the Nagadiyat Return alluded to

4— I have therefore, as called for, submitted herewith a statement showing the areas of mixed garden and the Estimated extent in them occupied by Supari trees and showing how the rates charged on them Compare with those charged on pure Supari Gardens.

5— I may further state that — 5312 acres shown in the statement

as

as the probable area occupied by pure arecaunt gardens can -not with certainty be said as being wholly occupied with fruit bearing arecaunt trees. This ex. -tent includes the area occupied by mature and immature trees and areas still left unplanted. - Even in mature trees some may not be productive, moreover many gardens, I believe, have become waste and unproductive and because there are few areca. -nut trees scattered here and there the whole areas of such gardens are of necessity included under the head pure arecaunt garden Under such circumstances the duty collected under the head Supari in this District may not look very favor. -able

favorable.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. Murnaghan

for Deputy Commissioner

Hapan Dzolenek

4

Comparative Statement.

Estimated extent in therm occupied by the Supari trees for the Year 1890-91

| Number. | Taluq. | As per Roytwar account mixed Gardens in which both Areeca & coconut trees are planted | | | | | | | | | Estimated extent occupied by supari trees in the area of mixed Gardens. | | | | | | | | | As per Royatwar account pure areca nut Garden | | | | | | | | | probable total extent shewing the area occupied by pure areca nut Gardens | | | | | | | | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Extent | | | Rate per acre From | | | To | | | assessment | | | Rate per acre From | | | To | | | proportionate assessment | | | Rate per acre From | | | To | | | assessment | | | Extent | | | Rate per acre From | | | To | | | assessment | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | | 8 | | | 9 | | | 10 | | | 12 | | | 13 | | | 14 | | | 15 | | | 16 | | | 17 | | | 18 | | | 19 |
| | | A | G | So | R | a | k | R | a | k | R | a | k | A | G | So | R | a | k | R | a | k | A | G | So | R | a | k | R | a | k | R | a | k | R | a | k | R | a | k | Rs | A | P | |
| 1 | Hassan | 2429 | 23 | a | a | 7 | a | 7 | 8 | a | 12343 | 6 | a | 1417 | 36 | a | a | 7 | · | 7 | 8 | · | 7202 | a | · | 1042 | 25 | · | 3 | a | · | 7 | a | · | 547 | a | a | 1522 | 21 | · | a | 7 | · | 7 | 8 | · | 7749 | a | · | |
| 2 | Munjerabad | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | 97 | 8 | · | 3 | a | · | 12 | · | · | 578 | 12 | · | 97 | 8 | · | 3 | a | · | 12 | a | · | 578 | 12 | · | |
| 3 | Belur | 1095 | 30 | · | 1 | 12 | · | 15 | a | · | 6642 | 14 | · | 449 | 22 | a | 1 | 12 | · | 15 | a | · | 264 | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | 449 | 22 | a | 1 | 12 | · | 15 | a | · | 264 | a | · | |
| 4 | Araikere | 623 | 39 | · | 1 | 8 | · | 16 | a | · | 5611 | 9 | · | 720 | 39 | · | 1 | 8 | · | 16 | a | · | 4909 | · | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | 720 | 39 | · | 1 | 8 | · | 16 | a | · | 4909 | a | · | |
| 5 | Chennarayapatna | 1810 | 11 | a | 2 | a | · | 8 | a | · | 20967 | 9 | · | 263 | 25 | · | 2 | a | · | 8 | a | · | 1146 | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | 263 | 25 | a | 2 | a | · | 8 | a | a | 1146 | a | · | |
| 6 | Holenarsipur | 1540 | 31 | a | 2 | a | · | 6 | a | · | 6312 | 6 | · | 395 | 28 | a | 2 | a | · | 6 | a | · | 167 | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | a | a | · | 395 | 28 | a | 2 | a | · | 6 | a | · | 167 | a | · | |
| 7 | Arkalgood | 2571 | 33 | a | 1 | 8 | a | 8 | a | · | 11187 | 8 | · | 1826 | a | · | 1 | 8 | · | 8 | a | · | 7942 | a | a | 36 | 34 | a | 3 | 4 | · | 5 | a | · | 155 | 8 | · | 1862 | 34 | a | 1 | 8 | · | 8 | a | · | 8097 | 8 | · | |
| | Total | 13272 | 7 | a | a | 7 | a | 16 | a | · | 63065 | 4 | · | 5073 | 30 | · | a | 7 | · | 16 | a | · | 21632 | a | a | 2382 | 27 | a | 3 | a | · | 12 | a | · | 1281 | 4 | a | 5312 | 17 | a | a | 7 | a | 16 | a | · | 22911 | 4 | · | |

Hassan Divisional Sylomrs. office
Dated 20th December 1892

5073-30
238-27
5312-17

For the Commissioner
Hassan District

(General Serial No. 30.)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Section A 2

File No 6 c 9 5

Serial No 12

6766 25 DEC. 92 R 1754 DIWAN OF MYSORE

1892

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

7

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 22nd December 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. One.

General No.

No. 880

Submitting a statement showing the area of mixed gardens containing cocoanut and supari trees and the estimated extent occupied by the latter.

Rev. 25/12

8754

To the Same No 12974 of 27/1/93

R. F. 254-92

Mr. Clerk ask Nagamangala to furnish the required information - If any Amildar does not furnish the required information by the call first - Must submit an incomplete or doubtful return which can only perfect by a reference but not by the Superintendent

Rec'd 26/12

Z. 1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

*Dated Mysore* 22d December 1892.

*From*

A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esquire B.A. B.L.
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Mysore District.*

*To*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,*

SIR,

With reference to the Government Proceedings no: 102/9 Dated 27th October 1892, I have the honor to submit a statement showing the area of mixed gardens containing cocoanut and Supari trees and the estimated extent occupied by the latter.

The area of 84 acres noted in the Proceedings under reference, relates to the area of pure Supari gardens existing only in the Maudya Taluk, assessed at from Rs 4 to 7 per acre, while the mixed gardens have been assessed at garden rates as fixed by the Survey Settlement.

Explanatory notes have been attached in the remarks column of the accompanying statement.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

A Rangaswami
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

1/11/1

area of Supari trees in the mixed gardens of the Mysore District.

| No | Taluk | Pure Supari gardens: Area | Pure Supari gardens: Rate of assessment From | Pure Supari gardens: Rate of assessment To | Gardens in which supari and cocoanut trees are planted: Area of mixed gardens | Gardens in which supari and cocoanut trees are planted: Estimated area of Supari trees | Gardens in which supari and cocoanut trees are planted: Rate of assessment From | Gardens in which supari and cocoanut trees are planted: Rate of assessment To | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Mysore | " " | " " | " " | 3075 4 | 1544 2 | 1 8 " | 10 " " | |
| 2 | Yedatore | " " | " " | " " | 1809 20 | 200 " | 1 12 " | 9 " " | |
| 3 | Hunsur | " " | " " | " " | 2911 5 | 2765 23 | 2 11 " | 9 " " | Estimated by Amildar at 20 acres of arecanut trees for every one acre of cocoanut trees as supari is grown largely in this Taluk. |
| 4 | Heggadadevencote | " " | " " | " " | 64 32 | 8 " | 1 8 " | 6 " " | |
| 5 | Gundalpete | " " | " " | " " | 179 37 | 40 " | 1 4 " | 8 " " | |
| 6 | Chamarajnagar | " " | " " | " " | 1166 5 | 390 " | 1 2 " | 15 " " | |
| 7 | Nanjungud | " " | " " | " " | 35 26 | 2 2 | 2 1 10 | 33 6 8 | Area of Supari trees is estimated to be 1/16 of the extent of mixed gardens |
| 8 | Tir: Narasipur | " " | " " | " " | 286 25 | ⊙ 213 38 | 3 8 " | 17 " " | * As these gardens generally lie below channels, wet cultivation is also being |
| 9 | Seringapatam | " " | " " | " " | 1384 9 | ⊙ 212 24 | 1 8 " | 9 8 " | carried on there, hence they are assessed at wet rates without additional |
| 10 | Krishnarajpete | " " | " " | " " | 2929 3 | ⊙ 2142 " | 2 " " | 10 " " | assessment for gardens. |
| 11 | Nagamangala | " " | " " | " " | 1144 39 | ⊙ 300 " | 4 " " | 10 " " | ⊙ Cultivation adopted, not stated by Amildar |
| 12 | Mandya | 83 25 4 | " " | 7 " " | 816 5 | ⊙ 51 22 | 1 2 " | 10 " " | Note. In the return for Gundulpett Taluk for 1890-91 an area of |
| 13 | Malavelli | " " | " " | " " | 114 35 | 50 " | 2 13 " | 11 4 2 | 1452 acres had been shown in statement no 20. But during the survey |
| | Total | 83 25 | " " | " " | 15,918 5 | 7919 31 | " " " | " " " | settlement of 1891-92 the area was fixed at 179 acres only. The difference viz 1273 acres has been deducted from the area of 17,192 acres as specified in the Govt: Bro: under reference and the balance 15918 acres alone are shown here |

Mysore Dy Comrs office
Dated 22d December 1892

1452
179
1273

17192
1273
15919

Ramaswamy
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

(General Serial No. 30.)

26 DEC. 92
1892-93 R 1765
BANK OF MYSORE

Section A R
Sub No. 6492
Serial No. 6

From The Deputy Commissioner 2.
Tumkur District

To 8

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 23rd } December
Despatched 24th — } 1892
Received

**Enclosures No. —**

General No.
No. 558

Government Order Jamp No—
102-9. dated 27th October 1892
and Secy's reminders Nos 7129-36
and 8374-80. dated 25th R. 744-92
R744-92
November 1892 and 15th Instt
respectively -

— u —

Stating that the required
information as regards the
Supari accounts of this Dt for
1891-92. will be furnished
on receipt of replies from the
Amildars -

Rev
26/12/92

Read 31/12

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No 558 Tumkur 23rd December 1892

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir

With reference to Government Proceedings Camp No 102-9 dated 27th October 1892 and your reminders No 7129.36 R744.92 and 8374.80 R744.92 dated 25th November 1892 and 15th Instant respectively about the Supari accounts of this District for 1891-92, I have the honor to state that as the required information is not available in this office the Amildars have been asked to furnish the same and that on receipt of their replies the explanation called for will be submitted. The Amildars have again to get the needful data from the villages.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Section 11
File No 64-97
Serial No 7

To

The Deputy Commr.
Shemuga District

Inv. 9738
R.F 46-92
Sw 5

Dated 23-12-92
2-1-93

As requested in his docket No. 853/815 of the 2nd instant, has the honour to forward herewith 5 Copies of Government Proceedings No. 102/9 dated 27th October 1892 pointing out certain discrepancies in the ~~return of~~ Supari accounts for 1890-91.

By Order

23/12

Under Secy

(General Serial No. 300)

189 .

*From*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*
*No.*



Rec'd 26/12/92

Draft reminder issued, 28/12

Serial No 25/1 64-92

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1892 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

(9)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 24
Despatched 24 December
Received

**Enclosures No.**

General No. 311 } 1892-93
No.

With reference to the Reminder No: 8374-80 / R.F 44-90 dated 15 Instant, has the honor to state that the submission of explanation in regard to certain discrepancies pointed out in the Inpari Accounts for 1890-91, is delayed owing to non-receipt of information from some Talukes and that it will be expedited.

To the same No 12977 dt 27/11/93 / R.F 254-92

Stringent reminders have been issued to Talukes.

K Ramanujam
for Deputy Comm
Kadur Distt

Sam.
Copy
H.K.

Recd 1-12

64-72
9
1

1892

9 JAN. 93
R 1854
DEWAN OF MYS

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Kolar Gold Fields.

Despatched 31st December 1892

Received

**Enclosures No. 1**

General No. 2025
No. 475

Government Proceedings Camp No. 102-9 of the 27th October last, calling for explanation regarding Supari Accounts

---

With reference to the above, has the honor to submit herewith a statement shewing the areas of mixed gardens &c

Deputy Commissioner.

Rec 7/1/93

To the same No 12972 of 27/12/92
R 7254-92 7/1/93

That the Supari produced in mixed gardens is used for domestic purposes only & pays no kalut & no reason for the Dy Cr not compelling the Amildars to submit an Estimate (on Appln made by) of the area covered by Supari trees. The Dy Cr will now furnish this information without further recourse & delay.

The Wal for the Kolar is not given. Adding up the figures in Col 1 I get 1110 acres whereas the Nayadyat shows 1100 acres only (as limited in the Proc. 102-9 of 27 Oct) whether Col 1 should be added up in the Dy Cr's office and differences between the Nayadyat & the Nayadyat should be examined & noted

Draft Subs
28/1/93

25/1/93

5 9/1

Statement called for in Government Proceedings No 102-9 dated 27th November 1892 in regard to mixed Gardens containing Supari trees, Kolor District

| Taluk or Sub Taluk 1 | Area of mixed gardens A 2 | Area of mixed gardens B 2 | Extent occupied by Supari trees 3 | Rate of assessment levied on mixed gardens per Acre: From 4 | Rate of assessment levied on mixed gardens per Acre: To 5 | Rate on pure Supari Gardens per Acre: From 6 | Rate on pure Supari Gardens per Acre: To 7 | Remarks 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kolor | 11 | 13 | | 6 " " | 6 8 " | 6 " " | 6 " " | The amildor States that Supari trees are not extensively cultivated in his Taluk the gardens suffered much during the late famine of 1876-77. The trees now alive in the mixed as well as in the pure Supari gardens are very few in number, and they are scattered about here and there in the gardens so that the extent occupied by the Supari trees in the mixed gardens cannot be given the produce of the trees being very small the same was utilized by the owners for their home consumption for which no duty was collected. |
| Nowrinjpet | 7 | 10 | | 5 8 " | 6 " " | 6 " " | 6 " " | Do do do do |
| Bafe Bally | 28 | 6 | | 6 " " | 16 " " | 6 " " | 10 " " | do do |
| Chunpanhanny | " | " | | " " " | " " " | 7 " " | 7 " " | No mixed gardens in this Taluk. A small extent of 5 gardens has been planted with Supari trees No duty was collected as the produce being very insignificant was used for home consumption by the owners |
| Hulbafal | 5 | 27 | | 7 " " | 8 " " | 7 8 " | 7 8 " | The annual produce of Supari trees being very small, the owners took the same for home use. No Supari was sold |

| | | |

14 JAN 93
1893. R1919

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 9th
Despatched 11th January 1893
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 208/1892-93.
No. 488

His docket No. 9766-72 calling
R-744-92

for explanation in regard to the
Supari accounts for 891-92.

With reference to the above,
has the honor to state that explan
has already been submitted with
this office docket No. 1025/475 dated
29th Ultimo.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

From

Rem accts

Subd.
Reminders in which is reminded
these 2 cases has been
passed before the replies
arrive -
BB 251.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 10th January 1893.

From

A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to your reminder No 9766-72 / R 44-92 of the 23d. December last, calling for the early submission of the explanation in regard to certain discrepancies pointed out in the Supari Account for 1891-92, I have the honor to state that the statement called for was submitted with this Office letter No 880 of the 22d. Ultimo.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

A Rangaswamy
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

MN

Compd.
ltr.

1892-93

Section [illegible]
File No 64-92
Serial No 930

The Chief Secretary to the Board of Inspectors

Dated 11th January 1893

No 971
931 En -

Government Despatch No 102-9 dated 27th October 1892 and enumerated in margin calling for explanations and certain discrepancies pointed out in the Supplementary Accounts for 1890-91.

With reference to the above, I am the Honor to state that the accounts received from the Talukas being incorrect they have been returned for necessary correction or explanation - On receipt of the Taluk Reports, the explanation called for in the above, will be submitted -

Robert Robertson
Deputy Commissioner

WDC

+ Rec 8374-88 & 15th Dec 1892
N.F. 44-52
Rec 2761-72 & 23-12-92
N.F. 44-52

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 538/1915

From The Deputy Commissioner Dharwar

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 11th January/93

Despatched

Received

**Enclosures No. –**

General No. 971
No. 931

With reference to your
Progs No 1028 27. Octr/92
dated last I the explana-
tion under certain
discrepancies printed
out in the Cash accounts
counts for 1890-91 will
be submitted on receipt
of the Sheriff reports –

Recd Book 13/1

Draft Sent (Reminder)
HD
25/1

To the same no 12976 dt 27/11/93
RF 259-92

Serial No. (General Serial No. 30.)

16 JA... 1893 ...N OF M...

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kunkur Distt

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated Kunkur 13th January 1893
Despatched 14th do
Received

**Enclosures No. —**

General No.
No. 590.

Chief Secy's Memo No 9766-72 of the 23rd Ult^mo R 744-92

— " —

Stating that the required explanations regarding certain discrepancies in the Sipahi Accounts for 1890-91 will be submitted early and that Amildars have been reminded in the matter.

K. Submitted.
The replies were due in the early part of ~~Dec^r~~ 92. Inform Secy that Kunkur 16/1

Is Mus ahsailing Distt. Medy^r with a view Submit the names of the Amildars at fault & follow it up with quick & clear explanations for their explanations for delay in submitting information wh Govt req^d in the early part of Dec^r last

24/1

Drafted & Subd.
BB
25/1/93

To the same No 12973 R F 254-92 27/1/93

21/1

Tumkur District

No. 590 Tumkur 13th January 1893

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir

With reference to your reminder No. 9766-72. of the 23rd Ultimo received on the 8th instant, about certain discrepancies in the Supari Accounts for 1890-91, I have the honor to state that as the explanations of the Amildars have not as yet been received, an urgent reminder has again been sent to them. They have to get the needful data from the villages as reported in this office letter No. 558 of the 23rd Ultimo. However, every endeavour will be made to submit the required explanation early.

R 744-92

I

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

Venkatarangaiyangar

Deputy Commissioner

No. 756
S.

Hapan District

Dated Hapan 18th January 1893

16

From P. N. Purdanya Esquire
Dy Commissioner
Hapan Dist.




To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No. 9766-72 of 23rd December 1892
R. F 44-92
I have the honor to State
that the explanation called
for in your office No. 102-9
of 29th October 1892, has been
submitted with this office
No. 669 dated 20th December 1892
I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt Servt

P. N. Purdanya

Dy Commissioner
Hapan Dist.

1892.

RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION
OFFICE OF THE
7762
20 JAN. 93
R. 2702
MYSORE

*From* The Dy Commissioner
Kaira District

*To*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan*
*of Mysore.*

*Dated* 18th January 1893

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No. 4**

*General No.* 756
*No.* 5 of 1892

*In reply to* No. 9766-92 Dy 23rd December 1892
No. 8644-92

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Explanation called for in No. 102-9 of the 24th October 1892 has been submitted with this Office No. 669 dated 20th December 1892

Rev Imm
20/1

*References* (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.93.7831.

From The Deputy Commissioner
× Tumkur District.

13

Series R 7
File No 44-92
Serial No 15

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt: of Mysore.

Dated 19th
Despatched 20 } January 1893.
Received

**Enclosures No. "**

General No.
No. 609.

R

Chief Secretary's No: 11945-7
R.7.44-92
of the 14th Instant.

=

Stating that urgent reminders have been sent to the Amildars for the information required with regard to certain discrepancies in the Sooparis Accounts for 1890-91.

Rev. Mark
21/1.

Subd. pr information -
Reports are due from
Districts
Mark
21/1

Tumkúr District

No: 609. Deputy Commissioner's Office

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tumkúr 19th January 1893.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

Referring to your reminder No: 11945-7 R. F. 44-92 of the 14th instant, regarding certain discrepancies in the Supari accounts for 1890-91 I have the honor to state that the information required is not available in this office. Urgent reminders have been sent to the Amildars. Some of them report that they are not able to furnish the explanation until the receipt of information from the Villages and that it will take some time to get the needful data. I have however issued stringent orders to them to try their utmost

and

early date as already reported in this Office letter No: 590 of the 13th instant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner

(18)

Section RR
File No 64 - 92
Serial No Ready 45

6 Draft

Docket No. 2025 of the 475
31st December 92 from the
Deputy Commissioner of the
Kolar District, submitting
with reference to Govt Ors. No Comp 102-92 27th Oct 92
a statement showing the
areas of mixed gardens.

No 12972 d/ 27.1.93
R.F. 254-92 28

Order thereon.

Government observe
that the Supari produced
in mixed gardens is used
for domestic purposes and
pays no halut, is no reason
for the Deputy Commissioner
not compelling the Amildars
to submit an estimate
(an approximate estimate)
of the area covered by
the Supari trees. The Deputy
Commissioner will now
furnish this information
without further excuses
and delays.

2. The total ~~for the~~

area of mixed Gardens in the District is not given in col: 2 of the statement now submitted by the Deputy Commissioner. Adding up the figures therein given, the total comes to 1110 acres & 20 Guntas while the Nagadiyat Return gave 1100 ~~acres~~ in round figure, acres only (as printed in Pro: No (Camp) 102-9 dated 27th October 1892). This difference should be explained at once.

Draft form

Chief Secretary.

To the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(19)

Section No. 2
File No. 64-92
Serial No. 17

Read. —

Letter No. 590 dated 13th January 1893 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tenkasi District, stating that the explanation called for in connection with certain discrepancies in the Serpani accounts for 1890-91 will be submitted on receipt of reports from the Circledars.

D. No. 1294/3 D/27.1.93
R.F. 254-92. 28

Order thereon.

The above report is unsatisfactory. The Deputy Commissioner will at once submit the names of the Circledars at fault and follow it up with their explanation for delay in submitting information which

59

Government required
before the end of November
last.

2

Chief Secty.

D. D

To the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Draft for 6/1

20

Read:—

Letter No. 880 dated 22nd December 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, submitting with reference to Govt. Proceedings (amp) No. 102-9 of the 27th October 1892, a statement showing the area of mixed gardens containing Cocoanut & Supari trees and the estimated extent occupied by the latter.

---

Order thereon.

The remark entered against the Nagamangala Taluk is unintelligible and should be explained. If an Amildar does not furnish the requisite explanation, the Deputy Commissioner should call for it and not submit an incomplete or doubtful return, which can only get rid of a reference

No 12974 D/27.1.93
R.J. 254-92 28

duty not of the subject before him.

3

Chief Secretary.

H. D.

To the Commissioner
Mysore District.

(21)

Section A R
File No 64-92
Serial No 19

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated 27-1-93
28

No 1295
R.F. 254-92

~~Head Office~~
Government Proceedings

Camp No. 102-9 dated 27th October 1892 calling for explanation in regard to certain discrepancies pointed out in the Superi accounts for 1890-91, and subsequent reminders.

---

In drawing the Deputy Commissioner's attention to the above Proceedings and to the several ~~urgent~~ reminders issued from this Office, observes that no notice has been taken of the urgency of the explanation called for which should have reached this Office

before the end of November last and requests the Deputy Commissioner will lose no further time in submitting the information called for with explanation for such long & unaccountable delay. Browder.

27/1

Chief Secty.

D D

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

*From*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

*To*

4

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*

*No.*

22

KR
6-4-92
20

To

The Dy Commissioner
Skeena District.

Dated 27.1.93
28
No 12976
R.F. 254-92

His No 971 of the 11th
931
January 1893 stating that
on receipt of further
information from the
Dalles, the explanation
called for in Govt Proceedings
Camp No 102-9 dated 27th
October 92 would be submitted.

---

As the Proceedings
above cited required the
submission of the explanation
before the end of November
last, the Deputy Commissioner
should lose no further time
in submitting the same.

Brewster.

W/1

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

*From*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

*To* 5

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*
*No.*

Draft forwarded

(23)

Section R
File No 64-92
Serial No 21

To the Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

Dated 27-1-93
28

No 12977
R.F. 254-92

His No. 311 dated 24th December/92 ~~report~~ bringing to notice that the Submission of explanation in regard to certain discrepancies pointed out in Govt. Pro: Camp No 102-9 dated 27th October/92 is delayed owing to non-receipt of information from some Taluks.

---

The delay is unsatisfactory. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to expedite the submission of the explanation called for which should have reached this office before the end of November last. By Order

27/1
Chief Secty.

D.D.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

*From*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

*To* 6

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*

*No.*

Draft for reply C.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 813

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 27th January

Despatched 27th

Received

**Enclosures No.** nil

General No. 374 / No. } 1892-93

With reference to the Proceedings of Government No. 102-9 dated 27th October 1892, has the honor to State that the area of Supari-gardens shewn in the Return of 1890-91, Viz:- 7602-2, does not include area of Supari-trees grown in Cocoanut gardens, and represents only the area of pure Supari gardens.

As directed a Statement is submitted showing the estimated extent of Supari-trees in the mixed gardens where Supari trees are grown along with other trees, such as, Cocoanut, Betel &c.

Pure Supari gardens

| | | A — Gt | Acs | Duty |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Tarikere | 5-39 | 41-8 | 9997-5-9 |
| 2. | Koppa | 6290-35 | 93688-13-5 | 65759-12-5 |
| 3. | Chikmagalur | 27-27 | 188-0 | 224-13-11 |
| 4. | Kadur | Nil | — | 6791-5-2 |
| 5. | Mudgere | 1277-21 | 10549-6-0 | 5541-15-1 |
| | | 7602-2 | 104467-11-5 | 88315-4-4 |

Rec'd 30/1

extent in the Malnad, whereas mixed gardens wholly exist in the Maidan Taluks of Chikmagalur, Kadur and Tarikere.

The Supari grown in the Chikmagalur Taluk in the pure Supari gardens, which only amounts to 27 acres and 27 guntas, is reported to be reserved by the growers for home consumption. That grown in the mixed gardens when transported is liable to the duty.

The duty of Rs 88, 3/5-4-4 is that levied on Supari transported to other places grown in the Pure and mixed gardens.

As per headings prescribed in the Nagadiyat under (1) "Areanut gardens", (2) "Cocoanut gardens", (3) "Gardens in which both Areca and Cocoanut trees are planted", the Extent is ascertained and entered under the respective heads.

Every arrangement has been made to see that no article liable to duty is smuggled and that duty on such articles is levied according to the rules and credited.

The rate of assessment per acre of pure and mixed Supari gardens, prevalent in this District is shewn below.

| No | Taluk | Pure garden | Mixed garden |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Tarikere | From 1 to 8 | From 1-8-0 to 16-0-0 |
| 2 | Koppa | From 3 to 22 | Nil |
| 3 | Chikmagalur | From 2-8-0 to 9-8-0 | From 2-4-0 to 12-0-0 |
| 4 | Kadur | Nil | From 2-8-0 to 15-0-0 |
| 5 | Mudigere | From 3 to 14-0-0 | Nil. |

For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Mixed gardens.

| No. | Taluks | Total Extent | | Assessment | | | Extent (approximate) under Sugar | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Acres | Guntas | Rs | as | Ps. | Acres | Guntas |
| 1 | Sarikere | 1892 | 12 | 14122 | 2 | . | 1118 | 8 |
| 2 | Koppa | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| 3 | Chikmagalur | 371 | 20 | 2358 | . | . | 251 | 11 |
| 4 | Kadur | 1410 | 9 | 10810 | 8 | . | 826 | 10 |
| 5 | Mudigere | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| | Total | 3674 | 1 | 27290 | 10 | . | 2195 | 29 |

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Chikmagalur 27th January 1893.

Asst.

Copied
D.R.J.

P. W. Lewis.
Ag. Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

(General Serial No. 29.)

49

1893.

8544
4 - FEB. 9
R2195
DEWAN'S MA

Part VII

From The Dy Commissioner Kadur Dt.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

23

Section R R
File No 64-92
Serial No 23

Dated 3d
Despatched 3rd February
Received

**Enclosures No.**

General No. 392 } 1892-93.
No.

Chief Secretary's Docket No. 12977 R.T. 254-92 Dy 27th ultimo.

---

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that the particulars regarding "Supari gardens" have been submitted with this Office No. 374 Dy 27th ultimo.

The Amildar of Tarikere in his report No. 874, received in this Office on the 27th

dence, submits that detailed orders were issued to Sheikdars and other subordinates, whose attention, he says, was divided owing to the Huzur Jamabandy of the Taluk up to the 5th Ultimo, and that for the most part they were engaged in the preparation of the Jamabandy accounts which caused the delay in the submission of the details.

The Amildar has been informed that the explanation is not satisfactory and that similar delays should be avoided.

H H Parker
Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dt.

Amd

(General Serial No. 30.)

8374.

1892. 4/3. B. 93

R 2192

From The Dy. Commissioner
Anekal District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt:
of Mysore.

Dated 2d
Despatched 3d } February 1893.
Received

**Enclosures No. "**

General No.
No. 642.

Govt: order No: 12973 of the
R.F. 254-92
27th Ultimo.

Reports the names of the Amildars who have delayed submitting the information called for by the Govt: in connection with the Supari Accounts for 1890/91.

Submitted.

with references.

Issue 4/2

Draft a D.O (Canarese) ~~addressed~~ to the Deputy Commrs & the Amildars herein named desiring them to explain the cause of delay and send

Reed 6/2

dR
No. 64-92
No. 24

Circle & the
Mw
Dd
25/2

D.O. letters issued from Camp
Mlk.
1/3/93

No. 642

Deputy Commissioners Office

Tumkúr District

Dated Tumkúr 2nd February 1893

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the Government order No 12973 / R. F. 25492 of the 27th Ultimo, requiring me to report the names of the Amildars who have delayed submitting the information called for by the Government in connection with the Supari Accounts for 1890-91, I beg to state that the Amildars of the Maddagere, Gubbi, Sira and Kunigal Talukas have supplied the information, while those of the Chikanayakan halli Tumkúr, Pavagada and Tiptur Talukas viz Messrs Mahamedi Raza, Ramaswamiah, Raghavender Rão and Hanumantha Rão have not as yet

done so. Their explanations have been called for, ——— and will be submitted as soon as they are received.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

W. H. Hartshorn Taylor
Deputy Commissioner
Junkin District

Rev: 1872/93

(General Serial No. 30.) 9177

1892-93 R. 2325

File No 254 Submitted to the Chief Secretary on 10th Instt. This may also be submitted.

V. Naidu.

Put up with the R file. Mysore 22/2 64-92

Serial No. 25

Attended to 25/2

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 16th February 1893.

Despatched 17th Dec

Received

**Enclosures No. 1**

General No.

D No. 796.

The Government Proceedings C.P. No. 102-9 dated 27th October 1892, calling for explanation on the discrepancies pointed out in the accounts received with the Administration Reports for 1891-92.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to state that the areas of Supari Gardens given in the accounts for 1890-91

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

Recd 18/2

represent the extent of only pure Supari gardens.

2. A Statement shewing the probable area occupied by the Supari trees in the Mixed Gardens, and other particulars called for is, herewith, submitted.

Manager Measow

for Dy Commissioner.

WPY

Supari trees are grown along with other trees in the District of Bangalore for 1890-91.

| Taluks | Area of misced gardens A | Q. | Estimated extent occupied by Supari trees in misced gardens A | Q | Rate of assessment on Supari gardens Minimum | Maximum | Rate of assessment on misced gardens Minimum | Maximum | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bangalore | 438 | 28 | 329 | " | 2 14 " | 14 " " | 3 " " | 14 " " | |
| Hoskote | 118 | 14 | at " | " | " " " | " " " | 7 " " | 10 " " | * The Amildar says that this information is not available. |
| Devanhully | 17 | 38 | 4 | " | 7 " " | 10 " " | 4 8 " | 6 " " | |
| Doddaballapur | 233 | 21 | 120 | " | 6 " " | 16 " " | 3 " " | 16 " " | |
| Nelamangala | 60 | " | at " | " | " " " | " " " | 5 " " | 8 " " | |
| Kankanhully | 2063 | 17 | (b) " | " | " " " | " " " | 11 " | 9 12 " | (b) There are a few Supari trees in a few misced gardens and the extent is not ascertainable. |
| Magady | 1182 | 26 | 800 | " | 2 " " | 10 " " | 2 " " | 12 " " | |
| Chennapatna | 2357 | 14 | 290 | " | 4 5 " | 4 12 " | 2 7 " | 9 14 " | In unsurveyed portion. |
| | | | | | | | 5 " " | 12 " " | In surveyed portion. |
| Anekal | 164 | 13 | 160 | " | " " " | " " " | 2 14 " | 20 2 " | |
| Total. | 6636 | 11 | 1703 | " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | |

Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District.
Dated 17th February 1893.

M Dawig Leason.
for Dy Commissioner.

No. 1092.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 20th February 1893.

From

A. Rangasami Iyengar Esqr.

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

In reply to the Government Proceedings No. 12974 / R.T. 254-92 dated 27th January 1893, I have the honor to state that the remark entered against the Nagamangala Taluk was intended to convey the meaning that the Amildar did not state in his return how he had arrived at the area of 300 Acres of Supari gardens.

The return was sent with this remark as its submission was urgent. It has been since ascertained that the figure had been entered as a rough estimate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servant.

A Rangasami

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Tumkúr District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

No: 718. Tumkúr 28th February 1893.

To, Dy 777
R 2458

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Section A R
File No 64-92
Serial No 27

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No: 642 of the 2nd instant, I have the honor to submit herewith a Statement shewing the areas of the gardens in which both areca and Cocoanut trees are planted and the estimated Extent out of it occupied by the arecanut trees. I beg to add that the area of 207 acres shewn in the accounts for 1890-91 represents the area of purely arecanut gardens—.

2—. The Amildars of the Tumkúr, Tiptúr, Chikkanayakanahalli and Pavagada Talúks explain that the delay in furnishing the required information was owing to the non—

—receipt

=receipt of the particulars from Shaik=
=dars. The latter had to get the necessary
Statistics from Villages—

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

W. Sterndale Torrens Lyr

Deputy Commissioner

Statement shewing the areas of the gardens in which both areca and Cocoanut trees are planted and the estimated extent out of it occupied by arecanut trees in the Tumkur District for 1890-91.

| Taluk. | Gardens in which both areca and Cocoanut trees are planted | | | | | | | | | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent | | Rate | | | | | | Estimated extent occupied by arecanut trees. | | |
| | | | From | | | To | | | | | |
| | | | R. | A. | P. | R. | A. | P. | | | |
| Tumkur | 3850 | 7 | 1 | " | " | 16 | " | " | 3000 | " | The rates charged on pure Supari gardens range from Rupees 2 to 18 per acre. |
| Maddagiri | 1832 | 14 | 3 | " | " | 16 | " | " | 1450 | 14 | |
| Chikkanayakanahalli | 2745 | 27 | " | 8 | " | 16 | " | " | 1818 | 9 | |
| Sira | 2481 | 30 | 3 | " | " | 16 | " | " | 1990 | 35 | |
| Gubbi | 4219 | 22 | 2 | " | " | 16 | " | " | 3018 | " | |
| Tiptur | 925 | 7 | 1 | 4 | " | 10 | " | " | 458 | 12 | |
| Pavagada | 410 | 5 | 5 | " | " | 10 | " | " | 353 | 7 | |
| Kunigal | 585 | 11 | 4 | " | " | 12 | " | " | 271 | 8 | |
| Total | 17050 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12360 | 5 | |

Tumkur
28th February 1891.

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

D.O.

Secret
File No. 64-92
Serial No. 2

[illegible]

[illegible] 1890-91 [illegible] 16-08-1893 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1893 [illegible]

[illegible]

Draft

[illegible]

Chief Secretary

MK

(General Serial No. 30.)

6 MAR 93

R2495 (31)

189

From Mr Mildard Secretary

Section R

File No. 64-92

Serial No. 29

Form 6.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th March 93.

Despatched

Received 6/3/93

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Explaining the delay caused in the non-submission of certain information in connection with Superi Accts of 1890-91, called for by Govt:

R

Rec'd 6/3

40

Chief Secretary

4/3/93

M.K.

Dated 4.3.93

To

The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat Employees Welfare Association, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:- Recognition of Andhra Pradesh Secretariat Employees Welfare Association - Regarding.

Ref:- Your letter dated 29/10/92 No. 6212/4492

With reference to the above, I am to inform you that the Andhra Pradesh Secretariat Employees Welfare Association is recognised for the purpose of representation in matters relating to the welfare of the employees of the Secretariat.

The Association is requested to furnish the following information for the purpose of recognition.

1. Number of members in the Association.
2. Number of members in the Executive Committee.
3. Number of members in the General Body.
4. Number of members in the Working Committee.
5. Number of members in the Sub-Committee.
6. Number of members in the Advisory Committee.
7. Number of members in the Standing Committee.
8. Number of members in the Ad-hoc Committee.
9. Number of members in the Special Committee.
10. Number of members in the Joint Committee.
11. Number of members in the Liaison Committee.
12. Number of members in the Coordination Committee.
13. Number of members in the Grievance Committee.
14. Number of members in the Disciplinary Committee.
15. Number of members in the Appeal Committee.
16. Number of members in the Review Committee.
17. Number of members in the Audit Committee.
18. Number of members in the Finance Committee.
19. Number of members in the Publicity Committee.
20. Number of members in the Cultural Committee.
21. Number of members in the Sports Committee.
22. Number of members in the Welfare Committee.
23. Number of members in the Women's Committee.
24. Number of members in the Youth Committee.
25. Number of members in the Retired Employees Committee.
26. Number of members in the Ex-Officio Committee.
27. Number of members in the Nominated Committee.
28. Number of members in the Co-opted Committee.
29. Number of members in the Permanent Committee.
30. Number of members in the Temporary Committee.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Secretary

Rev.

Submit to Chief Secy with file.

MVR 6/3.

6/3

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

10337

14 MAR 93

R 25 93.

Section K R

File No 64-92

Serial No 32

From The Amildar of Chick naikanhalli

~~The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.~~

To The Chief Secretary.

Dated 4th March 93.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Explaining the delay in the submission of certain particulars regarding Supari Accounts

K

Read 14/3

15/3.

Revised
4 13/3

20943-11-8-8-90

675 [illegible] 3-2-1893 [illegible]
[illegible] 27-12-92 [illegible]
[illegible]

---

612 [illegible] 13-1-1893 [illegible] 101/513 [illegible]
[illegible]

---

[illegible]

2745-27 20943-14-

1818-9 15873-10-

73-4 653-8-

854-14 4416-12-

2745-27 20943-14-

8-0-0

16-0-0

676

(General Serial No. 30.)

6 MAR. 9

1892/93 R2493

Section 21

File No 24-92

Serial No 70

*From* The Accountant General of Inspection

*To*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

*Dated* 5th March 93

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*

*No.*

Explaining delay in submission of Superior accounts.

Checked 7/3/93

R

References to be marked.

Read 7/3

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—30-6-92—3000.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1890-91 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1-3-93 [illegible]

[illegible]

1893 } BR Ramaswamiah
Acting Amildar

(General Serial No. 30.)

Part III

189

10333.

14 MAR. 93

R2573

From The Amildar of Savagada

~~The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.~~

To The Chief Secy

Section LR
File No 64-92
Serial No 22

Dated 5 March 93.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Explaining the delay in the submission of Inspn Accounts

R

To

by Commr Shimoga dt 15/96
RF254

dy 16/3/92.

Read 14/3

S.

15/3

ਨੰਬਰ 16-0681 ਮਿਤੀ 1890-91

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ

ਸਕੱਤਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ

ਸਰਕਾਰ ਪੰਜਾਬ

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ: ਸਾਲ 1893 ਦੇ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਮੇਲੇ ਦੀ ਤਿਆਰੀ

ਸਾਲ 1893 ਦੇ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਮੇਲੇ ਦੀ ਤਿਆਰੀ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਮੇਲੇ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਲਈ ਇੱਕ ਸਕੀਮ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਸਕੀਮ ਨੂੰ ਲਾਗੂ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਇੱਕ ਕਮੇਟੀ ਬਣਾਵਾਂ। ਇਸ ਹੁਕਮ ਦੀ ਪਾਲਣਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੈਂ ਇੱਕ ਕਮੇਟੀ ਬਣਾਈ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਮਿਤੀ 4 ਮਾਰਚ 1893 ਨੂੰ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਕਮੇਟੀ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੂਚੀ ਅਤੇ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।

ਕਮੇਟੀ ਨੇ ਸਕੀਮ ਦੇ ਖਰੜੇ ਨੂੰ ਵਿਚਾਰਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਝ ਸੋਧਾਂ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ। ਸੋਧਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਸਕੀਮ ਦਾ ਖਰੜਾ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ। ਸਕੀਮ ਦੀ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ। ਕਮੇਟੀ ਨੇ ਸਕੀਮ ਨੂੰ ਲਾਗੂ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਕੁਝ ਸਿਫਾਰਸ਼ਾਂ ਵੀ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਜੋ ਸਕੀਮ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਹਨ।

ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਵਾਨਗੀ ਲਈ ਸਕੀਮ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਵਾਨ ਕਰਕੇ ਲਾਗੂ ਕਰਨ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਣ।

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰ

ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ

ਸੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ

ਮਿਤੀ 14.3.93

Chief Secretary.

Mr. 14.3.93

[illegible] 20-12-92 [illegible] 23-12-92 [illegible]

[illegible] 17-1-93 [illegible]

[illegible] 21-1-93 [illegible]

[illegible] 24-1-93 [illegible]

[illegible] 30-1-93 [illegible]

[illegible] 3-3 [illegible]

[illegible] 4-2-93 [illegible]

[illegible] 8-2-93 [illegible]

[illegible] 16-2-93 [illegible]

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਐਸ.ਡੀ.ਓ. ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਨਹਿਰੀ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਨੰ. 1
ਨਹਿਰੀ ਕਲੋਨੀ ਮੁਕਤਸਰ

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ :- ਨਹਿਰੀ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਬੰਦੀ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਪੱਤਰ

ਮਿਤੀ 4-2-93 ਤੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 15-2-93 ਤੱਕ ਨਹਿਰੀ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਬੰਦੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮਿਤੀ 16-2-93 ਨੂੰ ਖੋਲ੍ਹਣੀ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਅਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਨਹਿਰੀ ਪਾਣੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਖੋਲ੍ਹਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਜਿਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਾਡੀ ਨਰਮੇ ਦੀ ਫਸਲ ਨੂੰ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਬਹੁਤ ਜ਼ਰੂਰਤ ਹੈ ਜੇਕਰ ਪਾਣੀ ਨਾ ਖੋਲ੍ਹਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਤਾਂ ਫਸਲ ਦਾ ਬਹੁਤ ਨੁਕਸਾਨ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਨਹਿਰੀ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਬੰਦੀ ਖੋਲ੍ਹੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਤੁਹਾਡਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ

X X

Do. 75

35

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Section R R
File No 64-92
Serial No 33

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated

No:

S/No 92

No 15196.
R.F 254-92

This office No: 12976 R.F 254-92 dated 27th January 1893 requesting immediate submission of the explanation called for in Govt: Proceedings Camp No: 102-9 dated 27th October 92, regarding discrepancies in the Supari Accounts.

16-3-93
16.

Draws the Deputy Comm's attention to the above and requests immediate Submission of the report called for with explanation for the ~~this~~ long delay that has occurred. The names of the Amildars from whom the reports are due should be reported and the Deputy

Commissioner should lose 16
no further time in submitting
the information called for, which should
have reached this office by the
end of November last.

By Order
F. Grant Ram
Chief Secretary

A.D.J. 16/3/93

No. 1176 en/
1131

36 Shimoga District

Deputy Commissioner's
Office, dated 18th March/93

AR 64-92

To The Chief Secretary
to the Diwan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings Camp No. 202-9 dated 27th October last, I have the honour to submit a statement shewing the area and assessment of mixed gardens in this district and to state that the Estimated extent in them occupied by the Supari trees cannot be easily ascertained, as the trees are scattered here and there

2. It will be seen from the statement that the assessment imposed on such mixed gardens varies from Rs 2 to 20; while the assessment on pure Supari

gardens

gardens ranges from Rs 1 to 27. 8. 4. the highest in the Sagar Taluk

3. It is reported that the gardens in the Maidan Talukas are not so productive as those of the Malnad Talukas.

4. With regard to the difference in the duty collected on Supari in the several Talukas of this District, I beg to state that the amount of duty collected on Supari, includes both the duty collected on Supari grown in the gardens situated in Govern-ment Villages and also of the gardens in the Inam, Khyam Sutta and Jodi Villages; though the area and assessment of such gardens are not included in the Nagadgal statement.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

Asst. to Commissioner

Statement showing the area and assessment of mixed Gardens in the Shimoga District prepared in accordance with the Government Proceedings Camp nos: 102-9 dated 27th October 1892.

| No | Name of Taluks | Gardens in which both areca-nut and Cocoanut-trees are planted | | | | | | | | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Extent | | | Rate per acre | | | | | Amount of assessment | |
| | | Acres | G | M | From | | | To | | | |
| | | | | | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | |
| 1 | Shimoga | 269 | 24 | - | 6 | - | - | 18 | - | - | 2563 11 - |
| 2 | Chennagiri | 589 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 16 | - | - | 3832 2 8 |
| 3 | Honnali | 300 | 35 | - | 2 | 8 | - | 20 | - | - | 2034 13 3 |
| 4 | Shikaripur | 1067 | 15 | - | 6 | - | - | 20 | - | - | 7510 8 - |
| 5 | Sorab | 107 | 2 | - | 6 | - | - | 20 | - | - | - - - |
| 6 | Sagar | - | - | - | | | | | | | - - - |
| 7 | Nagar | - | - | - | | | | | | | - - - |
| 8 | Tirthalli | - | - | - | | | | | | | - - - |
| | Total | 2334 | 1 | - | | | | | | | 15941 2 11 |

The Amildar of Sorab reports that the correct extent of mixed Gardens is 107 acres and 2 Guntas and that the extent of 305 acres & 4 Guntas entered in the annual Statement is inaccurate, and the same may now be corrected accordingly.

There are no mixed Gardens in these Taluks.

Shimoga
Dated 18th March 1893

V. Ramachandra Iyer
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

the
102-9
N

(General Serial No. 30.)

37 1893

10856.
of 2689

Station
Ref No 64-92
Serial No 32

*From* The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

*To*

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan*
*of Mysore.*

*Dated* 22nd March 1893.

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.* 1189
*No.* 1143

With reference to the Chief Secretary's docket No 15196 R. F 254-92 dated 16th Instant submits that the information called for regarding discrepancies in the Supari accounts has already been submitted.

Rev Mr
24/3
Sub with draft

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

From The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 22d March 1893.

No. 1189/1143

Chief Secretary's docket No. 15196/R.F.254-92 dated 16th Instant drawing attention to Government Proceedings No. 102-9 dated 27th October 1892 regarding discrepancies in the Sugar accounts.

---

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that the information called for in Government Proceedings has been submitted with this office letter No. 1176/1131 dated 18th Instant and the delay in the submission of the same was unavoidable owing to the Amildar having had to examine and ascertain the area occupied by

by the separate trees in the
mixed gardens.

V.R. Venkatapathy Iyer
for Dy Commissioner

BBK

38

Section A12
File No 64-92
Serial No 36

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Dated 10 April 1893
10.

No 1663
R.F. 254-92

His No 1176 dated 18th
1131
March 93 Submitting a Statement Showing the area & assessment of mixed gardens in the District, and stating that the estimated extent in them occupied by the ~~Supari trees~~ trees cannot be easily ascertained as the trees are scattered here & there

---

Govt regret to observe that the information ~~was~~ Submitted after a delay of 4 months beyond the

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

time prescribed, is still incomplete. He Dr Lomus should call upon the Amildars to submit at once an Estimate (an approximate Statement) of the area covered by the Supari trees.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

By order

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Draft

Draft for approval

Rev Mrs 10/4

Subd with draft 11/4

BB 13/4

Section AR
File No 64-72
Serial No 37

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 11423. 3 APR. 93 R2824

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 3rd April
Despatched 4th
Received

**Enclosures No/**

General No. 3032
No. 725

Kolar
Konungpet
Chintamany
Siddaghatta
Malur.

Government Proceedings no 12972 R7254-92 of the 27th January last calling for an Estimate of the area covered by Supari trees in mixed Gardens

With reference to the above, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the Estimate of the area covered by the Supari trees in the marginally noted Talooks. The Amildars of the other Talooks have not yet furnished the required

The same nos 17071 15/4
R7254

7/0

required information
A fresh reminder
has again been issued
to them. On receipt
of the information
a supplemental
statement will
be submitted

I remain
Yours obediently
Assumptions

Statement showing Estimated area covered by Supari trees in mixed gardens in the Kolar District.

| Taluk | Area of mixed gardens A | G | Estimated Extent occupied by Supari trees A | G | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kolar | 11 | 13 | " | 29 | |
| Bowringpet | 7 | 10 | " | " | No trees are now standing |
| Chintamany | " | " | " | " | |
| Siddaghatta | 16 | " | 4 | " | |
| Malur | 67 | 24 | 33 | 32 | |
| | 102 | 7 | 38 | 21 | |

Deputy Commrs Office
Kolar District
Dated April 93

Deputy Commissioner

88

1892-93

| | |
|---|---|
| Section | GR |
| File No | 64-92 |
| Serial No | 38 |

The Deputy Commr:
Kolar District.

Dated 15-4-93
17
No: 17071
R.F. 254-92.

His No: 3032/725 dated 3rd April 1893 stating that a Supplemental Statement showing the estimate of the area covered by Supari trees in certain taluks, will be submitted on receipt of information from the Amildars concerned.

Mulbagal
Chickballapur
Bagepalli
Goribidnur

In referring to the above, requests that the Deputy Commr: will expedite the submission of the Statement which should reach this office not later than the 25th of the current month.

~~as promised in the above~~

By Order

15/4/93
Under Secy.

A.B
13/4

Urgent



Draft for approval

No 1263 en 2
1216

Deputy Commissioners Office
Shimoga District.
17th April 1893.

To.

Section
File No
Serial No

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket general No 16637 / R.J 254-92 of the 10th Instant (received on the 12th), I have the honor to state with considerable regret that the facts have not been fully reported in this office No 1176/1131 dated the 18th March, sent from Head Quarters while I was away in the Sorab Taluk.

2. It is well known that this District is one of the chief Supari producing Districts with an in=
=significant growth of Cocoanut.

3. The area occupied by purely Cocoanut gardens which exist only in the three Taluks noted on the

No one
asked for

margin is in all 53 acres which is little or nothing when Compared with the large extent of 15,708·24 acres in the District occupied by purely Supari gardens.

Sajan . . 30 "
Dithalli 2 "

4. The area given under the head mixed gardens (Supari and Cocoanut) is as follows:-

Shimoga - 269 acres.
Chamarajnagar . . 589 "
Hosmali . . 301 "
Shikarpur . . 1067 "
Sonab . . . 107 "

2333 as recently reported by the Amildar against 305 308
Shewn in the accounts.

305
107
201

First given 2535
Now given 2333
202

5 There are no mixed gardens Shewn in the accounts in the Malnad Talukas of the District Comprising pure Malnad.

6. The major portion of the above area ought not to have been included under

under this head at all, for the majority of the so called mixed gardens are really arecanut gardens, but treated as mixed gardens simply because they contain a few Cocoanut trees also. These insignificant Cocoanut trees were planted next the hedges or enclosures around and in several instances along with other trees Jack, Mango &ca, chiefly with the object of affording shade to the Supari trees forming the gardens in question. The Cocoanut trees are not taken into account at all by the gardeners in the valuation of their respective gardens the value depending wholly on the growth in them of Supari alone. The indifferent growth of Cocoanut does not yield nor is intended to yield fruit sufficient even for the home consumption of an ordinary raiyath.

7. The classification which is evidently extremely faulty, has been

existing for [illegible] years
to be revised with due enquiry and
care hereafter

8. In the Malnad Taluks of Tirthalli Nagar and Sagar, the few gardens of this kind appear to have been very properly treated as purely arecanut gardens.

9. The accompanying statement based on the results of examination made in four villages of the Kasaba Hobli of the Shimoga Taluk confirm the above views Some owners of gardens in the Bidari and Holalur Hobbies of the Taluk, and the — Aravatti Hobli of Sorab gave the same account of most of their own gardens classified as 'mixed' and those in their neighbourhoods. The Amildar of Shamaji in his report gives the same state of affairs in his Taluk

and

2)

and Shikarpur and Hommali fare no better in this respect. The smaller area lately reported by the Amildar of Sonab seems to be still much above the mark.

10. Under these circumstances I submit that it is of no practical use to deduct anything in the extent of these really areca gardens wrongly classified as 'mixed' gardens in the 'Saljhāda' accounts on account of the small number of Cocoanut trees existing in them; the whole area cannot but be regarded as occupied by arecanut and added on to that given under the head of Surpari gardens.

Good - This is what is wanted

11. The only deduction that may fairly be made should be in the area occupied by <u>mixed gardens proper</u>, which exist here and there in

in the Mardan portions only of the District. Such gardens may well be estimated as representing $\frac{1}{4}$ or at most $\frac{1}{3}$ of the area now shewn under the head mixed gardens, and out of this $\frac{2}{3}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ may be put down for Supari and the remainder for Co-coanut.

accepted $\frac{1}{3}$ ✓

accepted $\frac{3}{4}$ ✓

12. A revised estimate of the mixed gardens formed in accordance with the views expressed above — shewing the approximate extent of Supari in them, is appended.

13. As for the differences of production of Supari and the 'Halat' duly noticed by Government though not particularly in reference to this District, I am making the needful enquiries and shall submit my report in due course

if

if one is found necessary. Best endeavours are however being made to check Smuggling.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

Abdul Rahiman Khan Bahadur
Asst Commissioner
Shimoga District

BRL.

Revised Statement showing the area and assessment of mixed gardens in the Shimoga District - accompanying to this office letter No & 17th Instant.

A

| No. | Name of Taluks. | Gardens in which both arecanut and cocoanut trees are planted as per existing accounts | | | | | | | | | | Cocoanut | | | Arecanut | | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Extent | | | Rate per acre. | | | | | | Amount of assessment | | | | 1/3 of the area occupied by mixed gardens proper as per estimate now formed | Proportionate assessment | | 3/4 of the estimated area of mixed gardens proper occupied by arecanut | | Proportionate assessment | | | |
| | | | | | From | | | To | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | A. | G. | gts | R | A | P | R | A | P | Rs | A | P | | Acres. | Rs | A P | A. | G. | Rs | A | P | |
| 1 | Shimoga. | 269 | 24 | . | 6 | . | . | 18 | . | . | 2563 | 11 | . | | 89 | 854 | . . | 66 | 30 | 640 | 8 | . | |
| 2 | Chamarajpur | 589 | 5 | . | 2 | . | . | 16 | . | . | 3832 | 2 | 8 | | 196 | 1277 | . . | 147 | . | 957 | 12 | . | |
| 3 | Honnali | 300 | 35 | . | 2 | 8 | . | 20 | . | . | 2034 | 13 | 3 | | 100 | 678 | . . | 75 | . | 508 | 8 | . | |
| 4 | Shikarpur | 1067 | 15 | . | 6 | . | . | 20 | . | . | 7510 | 8 | . | | 355 | 2503 | . . | 266 | 10 | 1877 | 4 | . | |
| 5 | Sorab. | 107 ⊕ | 2 | . | 6 | . | . | 20 | . | . | 1439 | 1 | . | | 36 | . | . . | 27 | . | 479 | 10 | 8 | ⊕ As recently reported by the Amildar of Sorab against 305 acres shewn in the accounts. |
| | Total. | 2334 | 1 | . | | | | | | | 7380 | 3 | 11 | | 776 | 5312 | . . | 582 | . | 4463 | 10 | 8. | |

Note. adding 2/3 of the area now given in the accounts under the head mixed gardens to that under arecanut gardens, the total area will be 15708-24 Acres. Guntas with an assessment of Rs 213573-2-6. The Talukwar particulars are given at the back of this Statement.

15708-24
552
15126

17th April 193

778
1556

Abdul Rahman Khan Bahadur
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt

B

| Taluks. | As per existing accounts | | | | | Add the 2/3 excess wrongly included under the head wet gardens. | | | | | Total area and assessment as per estimate now formed | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent. | | assessment | | | Extent | | assessment. | | | Extent | | assessment. | | |
| 1 | 2 | | 3 | | | 4 | | 5 | | | 6 | | 7 | | |
| Shimoga | 626 | 9 | 6179 | 7 | . | 180 | . | 1729 | 5 | 4 | 806 | 9 | 7908 | 12 | 4 |
| Chennagiri | 502 | 7 | 3238 | 7 | 5 | 392 | 27 | 2554 | 10 | 8 | 894 | 34 | 5793 | 2 | 1 |
| Honnali | . | . | . | . | . | 200 | 27 | 1323 | 5 | 4 | 200 | 27 | 1323 | 5 | 4 |
| Shikarpur | 83 | 25 | 1448 | . | . | 711 | 13 | 2503 | 10 | 8 | 794 | 38 | 3951 | 10 | 8 |
| Sorab | 1044 | 10 | 16170 | 10 | 8 | 71 | 13 | 959 | 5 | 4 | 1115 | 23 | 17130 | - | - |
| Sagar | 4323 | 8 | 81,386 | 9 | 1 | . | . | . | . | . | 4323 | 8 | 81386 | 9 | 1 |
| Nagar | 2263 | 14 | 25406 | 11 | 10 | . | . | . | . | . | 2263 | 14 | 25406 | 11 | 10 |
| Tirthahalli | 5309 | 31 | 70672 | 15 | 2 | . | . | . | . | . | 5309 | 31 | 70672 | 15 | 2 |
| Total | 14152 | 24 | 204502 | 13 | 2 | 1556 | . | 9070 | 5 | 4 | 15708 | 24 | 213573 | 2 | 6 |

17th April 1893

A. Abdul Rahman, KTBS
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt

BRLe

Statement of mixed gardens examined by Subbanna, the Shimoga Hobli Sheikdar on the 31st Janua

C

| Village. | Holder. | Survey No. | Area. A. | Area. G. | Assessment. | | | No. of arecanut trees. | No. of cocoanut trees. | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kuppinakatte | Esanna. | 3 | . | 4 | 1 | 8 | . | 100 | 17 | The Cocoanut trees are here |
| | Nagamma. | 16 | . | 12 | 4 | 8 | . | 345 | 10. | There next the hedges. |
| | Sidda. | 17 | . | 22 | 7 | 8 | . | 366 | 21 | |
| Alijenahalli | Lenki. | 68 | . | 15 | 2 | . | . | 200 | 16 | |
| Venkoppa. | Venkatāramanavadhani. | 17 | 1 | 5 | 19 | . | . | 345 | 24. | |
| | Total | | 2 | 18 | 34 | 8 | . | 1356 | 88. | |

Thus there are about a dozen arecanut trees in these gardens per puntā, the No of Cocoanut trees is less than one.

Examined by Narain Row, Sheristadar Shimoga Taluk, under the orders of the Asst Comm on 15th April 1893

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Yeklādu | Lakshman Row bin Vasappa | 97 | 1 | 2 | 18 | . | . | 400 | 7 or 8 |
| | Gundé Row bin Ramarao | 97/2 | 1 | 2 | 18 | . | . | 400 | 7 or 8 |
| | Lakshmana Row bin Vasappa. | 90 | . | 24 | 10 | . | . | 300 | 10 or 12 |
| | Subba Row bin Balakrishna Row | 91 | . | 33 | 14 | . | . | 400 | 20. |
| | - Do - | 92 | . | 31 | 13 | . | . | 400 | 20. |

Shimoga
17th April 93.

Abdul Rahman, Khan Bahadur
Asst Commissioner
Shimoga District

Part II

(General Serial No. 30)

12147
22 APR. 93
DEWAN'S OFFICE
G 2993

189

Section 6
File No 64-92
Serial No 607

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 18th April 1893

Despatched 20th

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 3177
No. 757

In continuation of this office docket No. 2032/725 of the 3rd Instant has the honor to submit herewith a supplimental statement showing the estimate of the area covered by Supari Trees in the marginally noted Taluks.

Chickballapur
Gauribidnur
Bagepally
Mulbagal.

W. Dendumuthy

For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Tisca

Rev. Mm 22/4

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

25/4

the duty are remarkable
& the Dy Comrs have
not given proper ~
explanation why
the duty in some Districts
shows only amount to
less than a Rupee per
acre, while in Shimoga
it is as much as 12/2
R per acre, & in Hadur
9 Rs per acre. —— the
Dy Comrs of all Districts
except Shimoga ~~—~~
~~Kadur~~ are requested
to report (1) how the Halat
duty is now collected
(2) whether the ~~estimate~~
~~of the~~ produce of each
garden is estimated
by annually
by the Patail of each
village, (3) whether
Kulvar Chits are
regularly given for
all produce removed
from the garden, (4) at
what rate allowance

for domestic use
is made, (5.) what
is the estimated produce
for each acre, & (6.)
whether the owners of
gardens are regularly
called upon to account
for the difference between
the estimated produce
& the actual quantity
on which duty is collected.—
The Gov. consider that
either there is some error
in regard to the area
shewn as planted with
cocoa trees, or a
large quantity of produce
is allowed to pass without
the payment of duty
It is true that gardens
in Demerara are more
productive than those
in the other Districts but
the difference in the
production cannot
be so large as
that indicated by

If other trees are and the

the figures given in the above table — The Dy Comrs are therefore requested to scrutinize the matter thoroughly & report the measures they have adopted to prevent smuggling in future.

The reply to this Pro must be submitted before the 30th September 1893 at the latest.

21/5/93

Chapkey

Please send me the papers back after noting their Pros — Now must be another file containg the memo I drew up on the subject. Possibly there with the Dewan — Find it out please & send it also to me —

21/5/93

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Section 72
File No 64-92
Serial No 491

Read again:— 43

Govt Proc: No (Camp) 102-9 & 27th October 1892.

Also:—

Correspondence ending with.

Letters —

| Nos | Date | From. |
|---|---|---|
| 796 | 16th Feb/93 | D.C. Bangalore. |
| 3177/757 | 18th April/93 | D.C. Kolar. |
| 718 | 28th Feb/93 | D.C. Tumkur |
| 1092 | 20th Feb/93 | D.C. Mysore |
| 756/5 | 18th Jan/93 | D.C. Hassan. |
| 1263/1216 | 17th April/93 | D.C. Shimoga |
| 392 | 3d Feb/93 | D.C. Kadur. |
| 306/280 | 3d Decr/92 | D.C. Chitaldrug. |

No 1989-904 D/ 22-5-93
R.F. 254-92

Order thereon:—

The replies received from the Several Deputy Commrs to the Govt Procs: dated 27th October 1892, No 102-9, Show that the area planted with Supari trees whether in Separate Supari gardens, or in mixed gardens consisting of other trees also, and the

Halat duty actually levied in 1890-91 were as shown below:—

| Distrct | Pure Supari gardens | Estimated area of mixed gardens put down for Supari only | Total area. | Halat duty realized | Duty per acre. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Acres. | Acres | | Rs. | Rs. A. P. |
| Bangalore | 212 | 1,703 | 1,915 | 230 | 0—1—11 |
| Kolar | 78 | 73 | 151 | 36 | 0—3—9 |
| Tumkur | 207 | 12,360 | 12,567 | 58,754 | 4—10—9 |
| Mysore | 84 | 7,920 | 8,004 | 3,118 | 0—6—3 |
| Hassan | 239 | 5,074 | 5,313 | 4,254 | 0—12—9 |
| Shimoga | 14,081 | 2,139 | 16,220 | 2,13,137 | 13—2—3 |
| Kadur | 7,602 | 2,196 | 9,798 | 88,315 | 9—0—3 |
| Chittaldrug | 57 | 2,838 | 2,895 | 7,915 | 2—11—9 |
| Total | 22,560 | 34,303 | 56,863 | 3,75,759 | 6—9—8 |

2. The variations in the duty are remarkable, and the Deputy Commrs have not given proper explanation as to why the duty in some districts should only amount to less than x Rupee an acre, while in Shimoga it is as

much as 13 Rs. an acre, and in Kadur 9 Rs. an acre.- The Deputy Commrs. of all districts except Shimoga are requested to report (1) how the Halat duty is now collected, (2) whether the produce of each garden is estimated annually by the Patél of each village, (3) whether Kulvar chits are regularly given for all produce removed from the garden, (4) at what rate allowance for domestic use is made, (5) what is the estimated produce for each acre, and (6) whether the owners of gardens are regularly called upon to account for the difference between the estimated produce and

* The Collector of Kadur has been addressed 12-7-80 in the draft.

which duty is collected.
The Government consider
that either there is some
error in regard to the area
shown as planted with
Supari trees, or a large
quantity of produce is
allowed to pass without
the payment of duty. It
is true that gardens in Shi-
-moga are more productive
than those in the other
Districts, but the difference
in the production cannot
be so large as that indi-
cated by the figures
given in the above table.
The Deputy Commrs are there-
fore requested to Scruti-
nize the matter thoroughly
and report the measures

they have adopted to
prevent Smuggling in future.

3. The reply to this Order
must be Submitted
before the 30th September
1893 at the latest.

Chief Secretary.

23/5/93

Jo.

The Deputy Commrs
of Districts

Photograph with press copy Sheet.